# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0855-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 235

Subject: Courts; Judges; Science and Technology

Type: Original

Date: February 3, 2009

Bill Summary: The proposal removes the expiration date for the Statewide Court

Automation Fund.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012		
Total Estimated Net Effect on					
General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012		
Statewide Court Automation	\$83,333	\$100,000	\$100,000		
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$83,333	\$100,000	\$100,000		

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 0855-01 Bill No. HB 235 Page 2 of 5 February 3, 2009

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 0855-01 Bill No. HB 235 Page 3 of 5 February 3, 2009

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of Administration – Administrative Hearing Commission, Missouri House of Representatives,** and the **Missouri Senate** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

**Oversight** assumes the proposed legislation would remove the September 1, 2009, expiration date of the Statewide Court Automation Fund and the statewide court automation fund fees. The balances in the fund for fiscal years 2006, 2007, and 2008 are as follows:

## Statewide Court Automation Fund (0270) from Fund Activity Report

Fiscal Year	Beginning Balance	Receipts	Disbursements/JV's	Transfers/IAB	Ending Balance
2008	\$523,044.68	\$5,684,275.66	(\$4,176,715.19)	(\$1,286,070.86)	\$744,534.29
2007	\$573,953.71	\$5,334,765.18	(\$4,608,026.49)	(\$777,647.72)	\$523,044.68
2006	\$407,097.67	\$4,753,205.91	(\$3,449,035.10)	(\$1,137,311.77)	\$573,953.71
Avg	\$501,365.35	\$5,257,415.58	(\$4,077,925.59)	(\$1,067,010.12)	\$613,844.23

Oversight assumes the proposal would result in continued revenues and costs to the Statewide Court Automation Fund in fiscal years 2010, 2011, and 2012. Based on the data from the past three fiscal years, Oversight assumes the proposal would result in average revenues of approximately \$5,300,000, costs of approximately \$4,100,000, and transfers of approximately (\$1,100,000), resulting in a net increase to the Statewide Court Automation Fund of approximately \$100,000 per fiscal year. FY 2010 amounts have been adjusted to reflect 10 months.

L.R. No. 0855-01 Bill No. HB 235 Page 4 of 5 February 3, 2009

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012
STATEWIDE COURT AUTOMATION FUND	` ,		
Revenues – Office of State Courts Administrator Continued collection of state court automation fund fees	\$4,416,667	\$5,300,000	\$5,300,000
Costs – Office of State Courts Administrator Statewide court automation system	(\$3,416,667)	(\$4,100,000)	(\$4,100,000)
Transfers – Office of State Courts Administrator Net of transfers in, transfers out, and IAB transactions	<u>(\$916,667)</u>	(\$1,100,000)	(\$1,100,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATEWIDE COURT AUTOMATION FUND	<u>\$83,333</u>	<u>\$100,000</u>	<u>\$100,000</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

# FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

BLG:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0855-01 Bill No. HB 235 Page 5 of 5 February 3, 2009

#### **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation removes the expiration date for the Statewide Court Automation Fund and allows the fee to be collected and the fund to function indefinitely without a transfer of any unexpended balance to the General Revenue Fund.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of Administration

— Administrative Hearing Commission
Office of State Courts Administrator
Missouri House of Representatives
Missouri Senate

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 3, 2009